	1				3
	BEFORE THE LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER STATE OF CALIFORNIA	1 2 3	APPEARANCES: The Facilitator Senior Public Relations Specialist	David Vela Dawn Cotterell	
	Public Meeting in the Matter of:)	4	-	Evalum Contag Davis	
)	5	Public Speakers Nad	Evelyn Cortez-Davis lia Parker	
	PROPOSED PLAN FOR INTERIM REMEDIAL) ACTION.)	6	1144	ia i arkoi	
	ACTION.)	7			
	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS North Hollywood, California Wednesday, January 4, 2017 Reported by: JOHN PHAM, CSR No. 14150	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23			
	Job No.:	24			
	13424DWP-COR(2)	25			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	BEFORE THE LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER STATE OF CALIFORNIA Public Meeting in the Matter of:) PROPOSED PLAN FOR INTERIM REMEDIAL) ACTION.) TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken at 12311 Vanowen Street, North Hollywood, California, commencing at 6:00 p.m. and on Wednesday, January 4, 2017, heard before the LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, reported by JOHN PHAM, CSR No. 14150, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of California.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	I N D E X PUBLIC SPEAKERS: Evelyn Cortez-Davis Nadia Parker	PAGE 7 45	4

North Hollywood, California, Wednesday, January 4, 2017 6:00 p.m.

MR. VELA: Good evening. Thank you everyone for coming out today. First of all, I'd like to introduce myself. I'm David Vela. I'll be your facilitator for tonight. This meeting will cover the proposed plan for interim remedial action for the North Hollywood West Well Field.

Before we start, I did want to go over just some of the -- some of the presentation that we'll be -- will be proposed tonight or will be talked about tonight. I want to introduce Ms. Dawn Cotterell, who is our Senior Public Relations Specialist with LADWP. And she also greeted you in the back.

MS. COTTERELL: Hi.

MR. VELA: So thank you, Ms. Cotterell. She'll be available for any questions that are not pertaining to tonight's presentations -- things outside of the purview and things that will help you with any type of comment or any of the presentation that will happen tonight. Also, there is a sign-in sheet. I know some of you have already signed in.

If you haven't signed in, I really recommend you

of the presentation, then we do have the yellow comment card and this yellow comment card which says mitigated declaration for the proposal of the North Hollywood West Well Field.

You can also fill that out, and I will also be calling for that part of the presentation. I did want to also introduce Ms. Evelyn Cortez-Davis who will be speaking today for the actual proposed plan for the interim remedial action, North Hollywood West Well Field.

So she will be coming up and doing the presentation. So I will be calling her up today. So if you could come up today and do the presentation. Thank you very much.

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: Thank you, David. Thank you all for coming out on a rainy evening. It's always good to come to the valley and sometimes, especially on evenings like this. We really appreciate everybody taking time off their schedules at home to come out. So thank you very much.

I'm Evelyn Cortez-Davis. I'm a civil engineer and manager of Groundwater planning at LADWP. And I wanted to start off by sharing what information I'll be covering in my presentation. We'll be talking about the proposed plan for interim remedial action at the North Hollywood West Well Field. First, we'll talk about the remedial

do so. There's also translation available for us if you need any translation from any English to Spanish. It is available as well. There will be a transcriber tonight, and tonight we have transcriber so that the meeting is accurately recorded. And so that you're public comment can also be accurately recorded.

We do have -- also this type of presentation does require as well for the transcriber to be here. So I wanted to introduce the transcriber. They'll be two presentations tonight. One is for the proposed plan for interim remedial action. And in the second one, will be the California Environment Quality Act or CEQA presentation.

If we are -- tonight for the sake of effectiveness, we will have two types of comment cards and you'll be able to comment on the actual presentation itself. So for the blue card, this will actually cover the proposed plan for interim remediation action. And you can also add some of the actual comments in the back, if you do not plan to actually speak tonight.

If you do plan to speak tonight, all you have to do is fill out the actual top of the card and that will be a way for you to speak during the public comment section. And I will call your name in order for you to come up and speak. If you're going to speak tonight on the CEQA part

investigation and feasibility study that was prepared by LADWP. This covers the site background and characteristics of the site, our remedial action objectives -- basically, you know, what we're trying to achieve.

Remedial alternatives that we evaluated and comparison of those alternatives that allowed us to arrive at our preferred alternative. And then we'll talk about the next steps, tell you where you can find documents and other information if you so desire. And also, at that time, we'll be able to receive public comments like David described. That's our general plan. So I want to start off with a little bit of background about the San Fernando basin and the groundwater that we're here to discuss tonight.

The reason that we're here today is because we have discovered high levels of a specific type of contaminant in the groundwater that we need to address. We'll be talking in depth about why we need to address it and when -- and in initiating this response action is something that LADWP was basically very compelled to do to make sure we're able to continue relying on our groundwater basin and the beneficial uses of our groundwater basin are critical to maintain.

The San Fernando basin underlies most of the San

Férnando Valley so we have lots of groundwater, basically, underneath our feet. Some of the water is maybe 100 feet down, depending on where you are. It could be 50 feet down in the southern parts. And, generally, this area -- we could have groundwater about 300 feet below the ground surface. So it's fairly deep in some areas. In terms of groundwater basins, there's going a number of terms we're going to be using.

So I want to define some of those. And also to point you to our program summary. Hopefully you grabbed one of these, and we'll have it posted on our web site as well in a few days. The groundwater basin is basically the portion under the ground that is able to store water and that directs water to our production wells where we can pull it out for drinking water.

The aquifer is the layer of water-bearing materials under the ground. And within the groundwater basin, this is one of the areas in the city of LA where those aquifers are extremely valuable resources for us. Groundwater is extracted from groups of wells. We call those groups of wells "well fields." So we're going to be talking about those.

When you see a map similar to this -- because you've probably see them a couple of times tonight -- you'll see little clusters of dots. Those are all

Well Field. Eight of those wells are situated along Vanowen Street. So some of them don't actually appear. They're off the map here.

We're at the library which is right over here off the map. And there is one well right here just on the other side of the freeway, and then there's an additional five wells that go as far as west as Fulton, I think, which is the intersection where the last well is located. And then six of the fourteen wells are located within a fenced area of the Whitsett Park.

How many have you been in the Whitsett Park before? If you've seen the fenced-in area, we actually have wells that are operational, and we'll be talking about in-depth of those wells tonight. Okay? In terms of the history of the site, they were -- the wells were installed over the course of about six decades, and we've been operating them for a very long time.

We operate them according to a permit that's issued by the state of California, division of drinking water, and we are in constant communication with them. They're highly regulated sources of drinking water for us. We detected 1,4-Dioxane -- which is one of the contaminants that we're here to discuss tonight -- back in 2012 -- at higher concentrations. Later on, that caused us to stop producing water from certain wells between the

individual wells that are able to extract water from the ground. And so when you see those, they represent well fields. And they're a little bit hard to see because we wanted to give you the full sense of what we're seeing in San Fernando Valley -- and the spread of those wells are.

We are located right here just east of the 170 Freeway on Vanowen Street. And the location of the well field that we're going to be discussing is the North Hollywood West Well Field which is right here located off Vanowen. There are a number of other well fields in the area, as you can see, that serve water to the City of Los Angeles, the city of Burbank, and the city of Glendale. So it's just not LA that's involved here.

And there is one important point to make about why all of these wells appear in this particular area of this particular section of the city. And that is hydrogeology. Basically, the types of soils in the ground make it possible for water to travel better, and that's why we're able to reach our water more in this area and also extract it. Okay?

We're going to be focusing on the North Hollywood West Well Field today, and so I want to give you little bit of background on the North Hollywood West history. And some of the characteristics of the well field. So we have 14 wells that are part of the North Hollywood West

months of November 2014 and March of 2015.

And so one of the things that I want to convey to you -- and I'll say it a couple of times because it's really that important -- is the bottom line for LADWP is that the protection of public health is our top priority. The remediation of the basin is the reason we are here, and that's a critical point for us. I want to talk to you about the 1,4-Dioxane plume or the map that shows the concentration of this contaminant and where it is in the contexts of our wells.

So, again, here's Whitsett Park, and we're located right here at the library. And you can see, basically, this shading representing concentrations of the contaminant of 1,4-Dioxane. The darker concentrations -- the darker parts of the map -- the plume map -- represents higher concentration of 1,4-Dioxane.

So one of things that -- to keep in mind is that we do have wells that have been affected by -- we have wells that have been affected by the edges of this plume and more recent data have actually shown some of the higher concentrations that are sort of a little darker shaded concentrations of 1,4-Dioxane arriving at some of our production wells. We have made some operational decisions about whether to keep some wells on or off.

So this is something that, again, bears on the

public health point that I was making earlier. There is an important point here to make. A couple of things to remember. The wells that are impacted by the 1,4-Dioxane are also impacted by other contaminants including PCE and TCE. These are defined, by the way, in here. I won't read definitions to you tonight, but definitely look them up right here. And you can contact us if you have questions about them. And 1, 1-DCE is another contaminant that is present in the area.

There is involvement by the Environmental Protection Agency and the Regional Water Quality Control Board that is already happening with regard to this particular plume of 1,4-Dioxane. And their response actions that are getting underway to respond to both the potential discharge of 1,4-Dioxane from what is the likely source of the 1,4-Dioxane according to the LA regional board.

There's a former landfill that is located generally in this area right here. There is already coordination happening with the LA regional board in that particular site to figure out source control possibilities and also involvement on how to manage portions of the plume that have sort of left the general area of the former landfill. So there's activity happening as far as those -- those two elements. The source control and the

higher concentration reaching our wells. We have basically been -- our ability to access the groundwater has been significantly impaired at the North Hollywood West Well Field. So that's a critical thing. For us, 1,4-Dioxane, we have the ability to manage the treatment of this particular contaminant in a separate manner than the management of the other contaminants I mentioned earlier.

The volatile compounds that do exist in the area, we have different technology that, you know -- basically this technology that is used for volatile organics is not the same as used to manage this contaminant. And so it's a separate effort, really, to try to manage and contain this. So the third reason we kind of -- I already kind of mentioned because of how it reacts with the ground water. Because it mixes so quickly, we have sort of a limited window of time to try to contain it before it actually affects additional wells.

We have number of additional reasons why this is something we want to pursue, and we'll talk through what the alternatives are that we examined at LADWP to move forward so that we can manage this.

This is called an interim remedial action. You'll see that on the agenda and all the documents that are published on our web site. By the way, you'll see the

plume that's already left the area.

So to talk just briefly about the contaminant of certain areas that is in front of us tonight -- 1,4-Dioxane is a synthetic industrial chemical with various uses that you can see is generally used as a solvent for different types of application. One of the things that's challenging about this -- and by the way, there's a really long EPA link here for technical facts that's published on 1,4-Dioxane if you're more interested.

You can also probably Google it just as easy as you can try to type it in. When we published the -- we are going it making available a PowerPoint presentation on our web site, and I'm looking to see to whether we make it an active link for you. So it's a little easier to get to. One of the challenges with 1,4-Dioxane is that it completely dissolves in water.

So once the contaminant arrives at the groundwater, it moves quickly. It's not -- unlike, let's say you mix oil with water, it kind of sits on top. Maybe it mixes. Maybe it doesn't. This mixes completely with water. There's an urgency to remediation that we need to try to address. So why are we focusing on the 1,4-Dioxane plume?

So, we talked about the proximity to the wells that we have and the more recent data shows some of these

web site right -- right in the back of this document here.

And if you go to this landing page, you'll be able to get the feasibility study, the remedial investigation, and the number of other documents to support that. And the idea here is -- it's called an interim action because this is not the final remedy in this area. Our intent is to evaluate other actions that may be necessary to address volatile organic compounds because there are separate contaminants that are here and the risks that we need to reduce is for this. That is more urgent.

So that's why it's called an interim action and why we're evaluating alternatives for it separately to reduce the risk sooner for this particular plume. There are other things that are happening in the valley. So if you've heard the word "Superfund" -- how many of you have heard the word "Superfund" before? So Superfund, basically, is a federal term established under the law called CERCLA which is comprehensive environmental recovery and cost liability act -- compensation and liability act. Thank you.

So, basically, this is a law that allows areas or entities that have been impacted with contamination to pursue cost recovery from the parties that are responsible for the contamination. So this is very important to us.

2.4

We are pursuing all of our alternative evaluation and all of the documents in substantial compliance with this law so that we can preserve that right to pursue cost recovery later

Separate and independent of this proposal of ours, the EPA has been coordinating Superfund response actions -- Superfund is just another name for CERCLA -- the law for cost recovery. At an area just east of here called the North Hollywood operable unit, and the Burbank operable unit, and the Glendale North and South Operable Units -- these have been in operation for a number of years already.

And they're extracting and treating groundwater as we speak. These are on-going efforts, and so we just wanted to make you aware that we are, you know, coordinating with the EPA, tracking what's happening in the general area. But right now, there is no operable unit that is established for the area that we're talking about tonight. Okay?

I need to tell you about our summary for the health risk evaluation that was conducted. So one of the documents that's posted on our web site -- we're going to have a quiz on what the web site is in a minute. The health risk evaluation is one of those documents that was conducted to assess the risk posed by the groundwater

of the hypothetical -- if you were to put a straw directly into the well and started drinking that and only that forever. So that's part of what the health risk evaluation does is that it weighs that. And it's one of the documents that's available for you to review.

Another set of documents that's available is the remediation -- the remedial investigation and the feasibility study. The remediation investigation focuses on the site characterization. What is the extent of the contaminant, where is it, what types of contaminants are there, and evaluating the baseline risk associated with those contaminants. The feasibility study -- then takes those -- and then also developing objectives on how to clean those up.

So cleanup or remedial action objectives -- then using that data and all of those findings, we develop a feasibility study that develops and analyzes all of those remedial action alternatives, identifying and screening remedial technology so that is a big part of the feasibility study that was prepared. And then we developed and analyzed alternatives in detail for those technologies that actually make sense for the contaminants present. Okay.

There are nine evaluation criteria for the different alternatives that were developed that we'll be

contamination if there is no response action.

In other words, if we do not have any treatment installed or operated, what happens? The exposure will be through residential use of groundwater by ingesting it, drinking it, or inhaling it potentially. And the conclusion is if you were to inhale groundwater without treatment and that was what would happen -- what -- sorry.

If we did not have a response action, the conclusion is that the concentrations of 1,4-Dioxane in our production wells could possibly result in potential risks from cancer and non-cancer end points. What does this mean? I want to make a really important point right here. This is the evaluation of health risk if there is no treatment.

This is not an evaluation of the water that is served to customers today. It is the evaluation of the water in the ground. The water that is served to customers today have different requirements. We monitor thousands and thousands of locations all year long. And the quality of the water that is served to customers and to businesses continues to meet or exceed all the drinking water standards.

That's a really important point that I want you to take away tonight. The water that you're receiving at home and in your business is safe. This is an evaluation

going over in a couple of minutes that are actually US EPA evaluation criteria. And I'll go over what those are and how the alternatives fair against those criteria. The remedial action objectives basically are goals as part of this interim remedial action are summarized here.

We want to protect human health in the environment and limit the migration of 1,4-Dioxane in the water. We want to remove 1.4-Dioxane from the water and restore our ability to operate the wells according to how we operated them historically and how we plan to use them in the future. So, our preliminary cleanup goals are listed here for 1,4-Dioxane, PCE and TCE and 11DC.

These are the other two -- three contaminants I mentioned that have been found in the area. Tonight's interim remedial action focuses on this contaminant here. And there are a couple of terms that I wanted to point out that I get -- referenced in our web site in our different documents if you're not familiar with that. I just want to define them tonight.

And also notification level, and that is not a regulatory limit. It hasn't been established yet, but it is a concentration of a contaminant -- which we will be required if our water reached just that level -- to contact the regulatory agency. In this case, it will be the division of drinking water, state of California, Water

Resources Control Board. So we have permit-limits that are linked -- permit requirements that are linked to notifications levels and also to maximum contaminant levels or MCLs.

So those terms might pop up in different documents so I wanted to clarify what those are. The notification level for 1,4-Dioxane is one part per billion. That's billion with a "B." So it's all of the data that you see in a lot of the documents that we have here, they'll more than likely be in terms of parts per billion. Okay?

So we're going to talk about three remedial alternatives that were developed after the technologies were screened. Alternative number 1 is no action. This is actually an alternative that is required to be evaluated as part of the national contingency plan under CERCLA or Superfund. The alternative two is an alternate water supply including institutional actions, and I'll go over that what means. Alternative three is groundwater pump and treat for direct domestic use. Let me tell you what each of these means.

Alternative one which is no action means, basically, exactly what it sounds like. We do nothing. What happens if we do nothing? This is, again, required by the national contingency plan to be evaluated. We

from the second alternative in that containment and treatment actions actually would be involved. And human health would actually be protected by capturing and extracting and removing 1,4-Dioxane concentrations through hydraulic controls and treatment above ground. I'll explain what those are in a second.

And then, of course, the beneficial use of the groundwater basin would be restored in accordance to the basin plan that is established by the LA Regional Water Quality Control Board. What do we mean by hydraulic control? Basically, we have three production wells within that fenced area in the park that I mentioned -- drawing in the 1, 4-dioxane contamination, pulling at -- away from the other production wells. So essentially containing that plume.

This reduces the likelihood of other groundwater production wells and down gradients -- downstream sources of ground water from being contaminated by the 1,4-Dioxane. So this is alternative three's hydraulic controls. The treatment, once the water is pulled out of the ground -- would be utilizing commercially available advanced oxidations processes that use hydrogen peroxide and ultra violet light or ozone.

And these have been demonstrated to destroy 1,4-Dioxane and recognized by the US EPA and LA Regional

continue to pump consistent with the division of drinking water permit. This alternative would not involve any containment or treatment actions of any kind. And it's anticipative that at least seven of our production wells will be removed because of 1,4-Dioxane concentrations reaching and exceeding the California notification level that we just discussed at one part per billion. That's what happens if we do nothing.

Alternative two is an alternate water supply. It, basically, would involve us implementing some institutional actions including possibly blending water from these well. Alternate pumping plans meaning pump differently or at different times. Finding alternate water supplies, monitoring and also possibly groundwater use restrictions. In this alternative, it's similar to the no action alternative.

At least seven wells would be removed from production due to one 1,4-Dioxane contamination exceeding the notification level. The alternate water supply in this alternative would be secured for the metropolitan water district of southern California or MWD which is a wholesale seller of water in our area. We already purchase water from them to supply to our customers.

Alternative three is the groundwater pump and treat alternative for direct domestic use. This differs

Water Quality Control Board, Division of the State Water Board. This particular treatment is also capable of removing other volatile organic compounds present in those remediation wells that are being treated.

Let's walk through the evaluation criteria that are established by EPA. How do we weigh these alternatives against each other? There are three categories of criteria. The first is what we call a threshold category. We have to meet these. We have to -the first one is overall protection of human health and the environment.

Clearly, this is something that is a threshold criteria for us, and the second is compliance with applicable regulatory requirements. The second category is balancing criteria. These include things like the effectiveness, long-term and short-term of the remedy, the cost and implementability and the last category is modifying criteria which includes acceptance by the state because, normally, these are US EPA criteria.

The state would -- I mean the state of California -- what we mean is the regulatory agency at the state level -- so the state water board -- and the community acceptance. So the last balancing criteria that you see here, we would consider these two after the close of public comments on January 23rd. That will be on your

quiz. Also the January 23rd date. Very important.

How do these alternatives compare to US EPA's evaluation criteria? We have those three alternatives. When you see the evaluation criteria, these are the first seven off of this list. And you have alternative 1 which was no action. Alternative 2 was the alternate water supply with institutional actions. And alternative 3, which is groundwater pump and treat.

To give you an example, the first one which is -- another one of the two threshold criteria that must really be met for us to proceed, is the protection of human health in the environment because neither alternative, one or two, involve treatment of the 1,4-Dioxane. Both of those are rated poor.

The way that we evaluated these is by giving one of three ratings. Poor, fair, or good. Good is the best that you can do in this scale. Okay? So when we look at alternative 3, that's really the only one that meets the threshold criteria, the protection of human health in the environment. When you look over all of this table and all of the either poor, fair, or good -- including the cost of net present value of these different alternatives -- these are not --

These are costs that are explained more in appendix B of the feasibility study if you're interested

simplified process flow of how the water moves through this treatment system -- how water is pumped out of the remediation well. The remediation -- the pumping scenario that we have included in alternative 3 includes three wells. It goes from a pre- filtration step. And then we introduce hydrogen peroxide solution into the water. The water mixes with the hydrogen peroxide then goes through the ultra-violet light reactor.

This causes a chemical reaction that allows the 1,4-Dioxane to be broken down into non-hazardous components. After the water goes through and the 1,4-Dioxane is destroyed, we have to make sure there aren't -- isn't excess hydrogen peroxide because that's not part of the water that you receive in your home. So we remove the hydrogen peroxide that there might be in excess using granular activated carbon.

So basically like a big Brita Filter that we would pass the water through to remove any excess hydrogen peroxide. And at that point, it would be delivered to our North Hollywood pump station which is already receiving water from a variety of other sources to be delivered to customers at a later point.

So in terms of next steps, our interim remediation action involves making sure we have received public comments from all interested state and community

in the cost. And these allowed the staff to arrive at our preferred alternative based on these criteria, and our preferred alternative is alternative 3. Because it actually meets the threshold criteria while the others do not -- or it provides the best balance of trade-offs if there's kind of a give and take or not quite meeting, gives the highest degree of protection and treatment of health and the environment. And it satisfies the requirements of CERCLA or the Superfund law we were describing earlier. So if you look at alternative three -- which would actually take groundwater from wells in the North Hollywood West Well Field within the park -- the picture I showed you is basically Whitsett Park, and this area here is fenced in with all of these wells.

We have identified 3 of those wells that would actually require treatment in order to contain those plumes -- part of the hydraulic controls we were discussing earlier. This -- so the water from these 3 individual wells would require treatment. The water from the remaining wells would not necessarily require treatment. And after treatment, this water would all be delivered to the North Hollywood pump station where it's all mixed with other sources of drinking water that is already at or exceeding the drinking water standards.

So to walk you through a simple -- a very

members and interested parties. We will respond to that public comment.

Our LADWP Board of Commissioners will then consider adoption of our record of decision. Basically, an official decision documenting which remedy is going to be selected -- how are we going to proceed.

Then we can move on to our remediation design. So you saw some very rough schematics of what we're planning. It's depending on what the remedy is. We will obviously have a lot more detail once we go into the design of the final -- the final plans.

The interim remedial action and construction of the facility -- if the remedy is selected in the first quarter of this year by our board, if they consider it and select the preferred alternative, we could start construction within the Whitsett Park area as early as this summer. So between 2017, mid-way or so and 2019. So approximately a year and a half or so worth of construction time. And then the facility will be operated and maintained for a number of years thereafter.

So to conclude my portion of the presentation, I wanted to point out where some of the information repositories are. These are locations where a physical hard copies of the documents are actually on file. If you prefer to look at them on PDF format, all of the

PDF or electronic versions of the documents are all available on our website LADWP.com/remediation.

If you prefer to see a hard copy, you can go to any of these locations and -- basically, ask for the LADWP interim remediation action for North Hollywood West, and they should be able to pull the document for you to review. We have a specific timeline for public comment. David is going to tell you more about that. And that concludes my presentation.

MR. VELA: Thank you, Ms. Cortez-Davis. As you can see, that's a lot of information. We do have enough time to actually take public comments. In particular, some of you have not filled out a blue comment card, please do so. You can turn it in to Ms. Dawn Cotterell in the back if there's anymore.

We do have somebody tonight that does want to speak and publicly comment on this presentation. And that's Ms. Sarah Ramsawack. So would you like to state your comment, Ms. Ramsawack?

MS. RAMSAWACK: Yes. I did indicate that I had two questions. But one of them does concern what it says in this pamphlet about the 53 active wells. And I understand that part of those wells have been contaminated, and I also understand that some of them are in the project -- in the lecture that we heard tonight, but we also heard

on the soil you told us about.

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: Right. So our wells tend to be much deeper. There are some areas of the San Fernando basin that are kind of off to the south part of that initial map we looked at that are not in this area that are much shallower. So when I said 50, I was referring to that way outside of the area here. This area, the water depth is going to be much deeper. Hundreds of feet.

Our wells are hundreds of feet deep. Some of the newer wells we have installed to monitor groundwater can go as deep as 1,000 feet below the ground surface. That's how much, you know, how deep we may have to go to really understand what's happening with the water.

MR. RAMSAWACK: Thank you.

MR. VELA: Thank you, Ms. Cortez-Dave for that. I really appreciate that. I'd like to also acknowledge that there was another comment card from Mr. Charles Savinar who is not speaking. Thank you for your comment. We do have Ms. Susan MacAdams who'd like to speak to your presentation, Ms. Cortez-Davis. So Ms. MacAdams?

MS. COTTERELL: Or do you want us to read your question?

MS. MACADAMS: Oh. I can ask my question. Hi. Thank you very much. That was very informative, but it didn't tell me exactly where the North Hollywood well is -- the

another detail that I was not familiar with before.

And that was that the level of the flow of the groundwater can be from 50 feet below the surface to -- up to 300 feet below the surface. So my question is how much of that water are we getting for our drinking water that comes from these levels? Are there any comments that you can make on that?

MR. VELA: I believe Ms. Cortez-Davis -- would you like to --

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: Thank you for that question. So I think that the question was about the statement in here referring to 52 active wells within the San Fernando basin and so that is the amount -- the number of wells that are permitted meaning we would have the ability to produce water from all of those well and have them all on always if there was no contamination.

However, we have roughly closer to 30 to 31 wells that are actively being reliably pumped today. And the rest might be on or off depending on what we have in terms of concentrations, et cetera. So it is -- the number that you have the tally is the number of all the wells that could provide water from the San Fernando basin. Unfortunately, we're not in a position to have all of them necessarily on right now. Does that answer --

MS. RAMSAWACK: And about the various depths depending

treatment or the pump station. In other words, you're going to take it to some place, and I wasn't clear from your presentation exactly where you're going to take it because you only have schematic. Where is the physical

5 location?

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: I'm sorry. Let me make sure I understand your question. You're asking about the physical location of --

MS. MACADAMS: The North Hollywood pump station.

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: Okay. The North Hollywood pump station is located just east of here on Vanowen Street.

That is not an area where treatment for 1,4-Dioxane would

take place.MS MA

MS. MACADAMS: That isn't?

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: No. The water would be delivered there after the water treatment happens.

MS. MACADAMS: Go back two. And you had it -- see the proposed treatment plan? Where's the proposed treatment plant?

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: So it would be located here. Actually, I'm going to go back even further. Please, close your eye so you don't get dizzy. The well field -- so here's the Whitsett Park location. The fenced-in area -- this is a control building for this well field that already exists. All of this is fenced-in.

33

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's an access road here. This is LADWP property, and we are currently operating the well field today. The facility or proposed treatment would -- if the selected remedy moves forward -- be installed here.

MS. MACADAMS: Good.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: At the park.

MS. MACADAMS: And then I had another question. So if this problem of the 1,4-Dioxane is located, according to you, on that map right there, are there any other places in the San Fernando Valley that also have this same contamination? See that purple -- I've seen other maps where appears to be located other parts of the valley.

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: That's a great question. So yes. There are other places where some of the other response actions that I've mentioned, that are being addressed by US EPA, that are addressing a variety of different contaminants. And I believe 1,4-Dioxane is one of them in the area, basically, just kind of off the map here.

MS. MACADAMS: Over by the airport?

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: It's a separate response action by US EPA, and it's in conjunction with the responsible parties, the polluters.

MS. MACADAMS: But won't LADWP be dealing with that as well, or will that be a separate meeting at a separate time?

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: Yeah. So EPA, basically, coordinates the efforts at all of these. And so I wanted to make you aware that there are other activities that are happening today to deal with some of these other contaminants and then, as I explained, our action -remedial action today that we're discussing tonight is interim because we do have other things that we need to deal with.

MS. MACADAMS: So your map is correct. That's a 10 second map?

11 MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: Yes.

12 MS. MACADAMS: Thank you.

13 MR. VELA: Thank you Ms. Cortez-Davis. Really 14 appreciated that. Our next public comment is from 15 Mr. Michael Morsivar. Michael, do you want to --

16 MR. MORSIVAR: Sure. You have my card.

17 MR. VELA: I do.

> MR. MORSIVAR: So my first question is a point of clarification. So if the groundwater doesn't -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- doesn't pose an immediate threat, and it doesn't -- it's not the water that's pumping into our homes and businesses. How would it become a threat, and what would be the timeline for that?

24 MR. VELA: Ms. Cortez-Davis?

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: Good question. Thank you. That is

34

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: Yes. So we are actually coordinating actively with EPA. And following the status of when -- if you read some of the documents that you see a reference to the -- what's called the North Hollywood operable unit second, interim remedy or 2IR. 2IR is sort of the next evolution of the treatment at that location that's treating volatile organic compounds in addition to 1,4-Dioxane and other things.

And we are very closely coordinating with US EPA on that because the water -- obviously, the groundwater that gets treated if we're going to be serving it, we need to make sure that it meets all of the requirements that it needs to meet.

MS. MACADAMS: Yeah. That makes sense. And there was just one -- I'll do one more. On the map you showed the Burbank operable unit on these, but you don't show the Burbank operable unit which is along Vanowen. See there? That doesn't show up on this map. And that's why I'm here today for the Burbank operable unit. Just so you know, it's a coordination --

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: Okay. Well, we do coordinate with EPA on what's happening with those units. We're not -because that's part of the response action outside of the city of LA.

MS. MACADAMS: So that's EPA?

what our no action alternative actually studied. So the feasibility study actually goes into depth about that. What basically would happen is that we have seven -- at least seven of our wells impacted by the plume -- by the plume and would basically knock them out of being able to be production wells in the future.

Just because we have been choosing to operate things in a kind of a different way right now doesn't mean this is a sustainable for us. We need to be able to regain the beneficial use of the basin. And, ultimately, remove that contaminant from the ground.

So right now, there's an imminent threat. Just because the plume is not there yet, doesn't mean it won't get there in the future. So we have -- we basically are saying the alternative to removing the contaminants is what's going to protect human health. Right now, we're using -- sort of different -- some of the institutional actions to make sure that the public health is continued to be protected today. That's not necessarily sustainable permanently into the future.

MR. MORSIVAR: And I have second question. If -- so one of the programs or handouts mentions what was 1,4-Dioxane is used for, do you have any thoughts or theory on how the groundwater got to be contaminated in the first place?

37

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: So we have information from the US EPA and from the LA Regional Quality Control Board about the source which -- the mostly likely source that's been identified by these agencies is a former landfill site. Whether -- how the contaminant made it into the landfill -- which really was operated for a number of years back in the '60s and early '70s, I believe, and it's no longer operational as a landfill.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

How exactly that contaminant got in there is not something that -- we're not exactly certain at this time of -- how that happened. But what we know is what our groundwater analysis is telling us. And that is that the highest concentration of that contaminant are concentrated, generally, in the area where the former landfill site is.

So one of the conclusions that the LA Regional Quality Control Board has reached is that there needs to be some cleanup at that former landfill site, and there is cleanup and abatement order that has been issued related to that site. So, you know, that's basically the information that we have available about the dioxane source.

MS. RAMSAWACK: Evelyn, if I may, please? If I look at that map correctly. That plume seems to be -- seems to be concentrated at most intently between Saticoy and

conceptually looked at whether the equipment that would be necessary would fit into this zone right here. So basically, that's the distant between -- actually not quite to Whitsett because this is just an access road.

This portion right here between this sports field fenced area and the 170 freeway. So we are anticipating whatever equipment we would need during -- put in during the design phase would fit into that footprint there.

MR. KOMPARE: Okay. So it wouldn't involve the soccer fields where they're putting an artificial turf?

11 MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: We're not anticipating that, no. 12

MR. KOMPARE: There are some buildings that DWP owns to the east of that.

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: Right. There are soccer fields here. Just north of here. There are baseball fields. At this time, we are not anticipating having to go anywhere outside of our fence area that is already being operated in the field.

MR. KOMPARE: That's not going to take over huge amount of these existing park space. It's all DWP property?

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: It's currently LADWP property.

23 MR. KOMPARE: It's fenced off?

24 MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: Yes. Fenced off.

MR. VELA: Thank you, Ms. Cortez-Davis. The next

38

Vanowen, and Whitsett, and Laurel Canyon. Am I seeing that correctly?

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: That's correct. It's hard to read, but this is Laurel Canyon. That's Saticoy. This is Vanowen. And this is Whitsett. The darker area is the 170 freeway.

MS. RAMSAWACK: That's right.

MR. VELA: Thank you, Ms. Cortez-Davis. We're going to continue with the public comment for just a bit. I believe we do have to start our next presentation. We have new CEQA presentation. That is coming up at 7 o'clock. I am going to go ahead and read some of the public comments from the audience.

So this one comes from Jim Kompare. And perhaps you can help us, Ms. Davis-Cortez, with this. What is the first point of the reaction plant? Where is the first -the footprint -- is it the footprint?

MR. KOMPARE: Yeah. What's the size of the plant? MR. VELA: Okay. Great. The footprint of the plant. Okay.

MR. KOMPARE: Sorry about that.

MR. VELA: Not a problem.

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: So we are -- because we are still in a preliminary phase, we don't have exact design details, but what I can tell you is that we have

comment is from Arthur Pugsley, and he has a question. Is there any groundwater remediation master plan for the San Fernando Valley? If not, why not? How will this and other remediation projects support an increased reliance on indirect potable recycling as a water source? Has the city integrated the remediation plans with other plans for expanding to recycled water?

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: Thank you for that very informed question. So can I see it, please?

MR. VELA: Sure.

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: I don't want to leave anything out. So we do have the structure for groundwater basin strategy. What you're looking at in this document here is essentially the outline for our groundwater remediation strategy for the whole basin. So where you review this document, you will see that it addresses not just North Hollywood West Project but what we're proposing to pursue in other areas of the San Fernando basin.

Including how we're coordinating with existing efforts, other areas outside of North Hollywood West Well Field including the Tujunga Well Field, North Hollywood, other -- Rinaldi Toluca Well Field. And more further to the south, the Pollock Well Field. We have a number of different -- and other well fields are mentioned here.

So this is basically the outline of that master

б

strategy, how we are hoping to address the remediation issue. How will this and other mediation projects support increase reliance on indirect potable recycling as a water source? So as I mentioned at the beginning, this is basin remediation project. It is not a water supply project, but we have benefits that basically comes out of the project that we would regain beneficial use of the groundwater basin.

Because the same groundwater basin has to be -not only remediated, but continue to be replenished. The
basin is what's in common with those other projects. We
need to continue replenishing the basin, and you may have
heard about some of our efforts happening here in the San
Fernando basin to replenish with advanced treated recycled
water. Part of what our analysis is within the
feasibility study is taking into account what water is in
the basin or what water is projected to be in the basin.

So we take into the account those sources of water in terms of the modeling that took place. If you're looking -- more detail -- to more detail about the model -- groundwater modeling that took place, we also have information in Appendix A of the feasibility study that goes into a little more depth of that topic?

Has the city integrated the remediation plans with other plans for expanding use of recycled water? So

soil over the course of decades, going as far back as World War 2 era. Industrial chemicals that were put on the ground before, really, regulations even existed potentially.

There are possibly activities that took place more recently than that. And that's exactly what we seek to assess. What are the sources as part of our remediation investigation and to proceed to figure out whether the source can be controlled. So that's a really important point to make. It really depends on the contaminant.

There is some information in the program summary for you about the types of contaminants that are out there and the possible sources where they initially might have come from.

MR. VELA: And then finally, this question is from Ms. Veronica Padilla-Campos who's asking -- first of all, she's complimenting you on the presentation. Will the 1,4-Dioxane plume travel? If it does, will further action be required later? If not, will it ever go away and will the process affect the park use in any way?

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: Okay. So three covered questions. The 1,4-Dioxane plume, we anticipate -- if we were to operate the treatment that we discussed with the three wells, basically pulling water and containing that plume

that's basically the same -- along the same lines as what I just mentioned. Because this is same basin that has continued need to be replenished, we do need the remediation effort to proceed to protect human health in the environment at the same time that we continue replenishing so the water levels do not drop to a level that's sustainable.

We have basically a court mandate under the upper Los Angeles river area water master that mandates how water is pumped or replenished within the basin. And so we have a lot of coordination with the water master in the San Fernando basin to make sure that we're continuing to keep the basin at a healthy level at the same time that we're pursuing remediation efforts.

MR. VELA: We just have a couple more questions. This one is from Felipe Escobar. And he is asking what is the reason for the contamination of water?

MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: So depending on the contaminant we're talking about, there could be different reasons. We tackled the 1, 4-dioxane -- with a very inciteful question from a gentleman who was right over here a few minutes ago. There are other contaminants, and they have possible other sources.

So depending on the contaminant, it could more than likely be contaminants that were deposited into the

-- that plume right now we anticipate based on our ground water modeling to be contained. So it would not necessarily travel. This is something that we would have to validate once the remedy is in to make sure our modeling is accurate to reality.

So we would anticipate right now based on the data that we have and the modeling exercise that the plume would be contained if we were to operate with the three wells that were in our -- okay. Close your eyes again so you don't get dizzy -- with the three wells that we identified in alternative 3 for our treatment.

So if we were able to operate those three wells here, we anticipate that we do not need additional treatment for 1,4-Dioxane. Additional treatment would be necessary potentially to manage the other contaminants that are in the area. So that would be treated as a separate response action. The 1,4-Dioxane is the one that is the most urgent right now. We're trying to reduce that risk.

Will this process affect the park use in any way? I think we were talking about that earlier. The answer is no. We don't anticipate the use of the park facilities to be impacted. There is some information that our presentation on environment considerations is going to discuss in terms of the activity that might be seen here

2.4

physically.

So we'll maybe share some more information on that, but for right now, after the construction is complete, it isn't anticipated that the operation would impact the park in any way.

MR. VELA: Thank you, Ms. Cortez-Davis. Now, we're going to move on to our next presentation. And before we do, I do want to remind you about the yellow comment card. That will be used for the CEQA presentation. What's great about the part as well, you can fill it out. There's also instruction to turn in your public comment for the CEQA process here on the yellow comment card.

All of them are due on January 24th, 2017 by 5:00 p.m. If you would like to public comment, we will have time for public comment after the CEQA presentation. So at this time, I would like to call up Ms. Nadia Parker who is with the Department of Water and Power - Environmental Affairs. And she's a supervisor there.

MS. COTTERELL: Just the note that these are due the 23rd. The date is on there.

MR. VELA: Thank you. It's the 23rd. The date is on there.

MS. PARKER: Thank you, David. And thank you, Evelyn. Just a brief summary of what I'm going to cover tonight. As David said I'm going to talk about the California

Through CEQA, we applied a review of certain factors which I'll cover in minute with the intent of preventing significant impact to the environment primarily through the use of alternatives or mitigation, as in this case.

This is little bit more of what I just said. The North Hollywood West Well Field treatment project is a discretionary action by LADWP, and a project as defined under CEQA. What CEQA really looked at for the North Hollywood West Field is the preferred alternative identified in the process Evelyn just described. The California Environment Quality Act requires us to consider a number of environment factors relative to a proposed project. These are all of them.

Common ones that we consider and we looked at here are air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, noise, for example, as well as all these here. In order to comply with CEQA for this project, the department prepared a mitigated negative declaration. A mitigated negative declaration or MND is one of the documents that can be prepared to comply with CEQA.

It's an appropriate document when a project has potentially significant effects on the environment, but revisions to the project or the application mitigation measures, as I'll describe later, are developed that

Environment Quality Act, CEQA. I'll discuss briefly what that is and why it applies to this project. I'll review the environmental factors that are considered under CEQA, talk about the mitigated negative declaration that was prepared, as well as next steps, and where you can find more information. And as David said, there will be an opportunity for more comments or questions relevant to CEQA.

So CEQA or the California Environment Quality Act is a statute in the state of California that requires all state and local agencies to review proposed projects for potential significant environmental impacts, to disclose them to the public and to avoid or mitigate those impacts if feasible.

It applies to all discretionary actions taken by a government agency. So the process that Evelyn just described at length talked about the remedial investigation that led to identifying the need to deal with the contaminant, the feasibility study that resulted in the preferred alternative; and the pumping and treatment for domestic use of water which, under CEQA, is classified as a project or an action that the department may approve if the board of water and power commissioners choose and approve that alternative.

And so we must apply to CEQA now to that proposed -- "preferred alternative" as I described.

would avoid or mitigate effects to a point where no significant impact on the environment would occur.

And, again, the proposed project analyzed in the MNDs are based on the preferred alternative identified in the RI that Evelyn reviewed. The CEQA analysis focused on the physical act of constructing and operating this project. So implementing this preferred alternative would involve some of the following phases including design, procurement, and construction and commissioning. And the CEQA analysis looked at the 12 months of the actual construction where we actually physically see work occurring on that park area as well as the subsequent operation of the project.

Constructing the preferred alternative would involve several key construction phases including site preparation, piping conduit, and pad installation for the equipment they would use to treat the water, as Evelyn described, and installing the equipment and building the necessary support structures. During that construction effort, which is what some of you as neighbors of the park may observe, will take place over the course of about year. A peak of about 20 onsite personnel for several months, but on a typical construction day, there will be six or fewer onsite personnel.

All construction would occur during normal

business hours, Monday through Friday. You'll see a peak of about five truck trips coming to the site, required for several months delivering materials and building the actual facility for the treatment of water. There would be several pieces of heavy equipment required at various times during construction including bulldozers, trucks and cranes.

Importantly, as my last bullet all shows, all construction will occur within the existing LADWP property -- primarily right up here -- it's fenced-in and separate from park and would not impact the recreational uses in any way. The conclusions of our analysis under CEQA -- for construction, determine that the factors analyzed were under the threshold of significance established under CEQA.

There were potential significant impacts identified for biological and cultural resources; however, mitigation measure -- which I'll list in a minute -- were incorporated to reduce the level -- recommended for incorporation, should reduce these levels of these impacts to less than significant. Once the facility is constructed, it will be going into operation mode which is a very low impact facility as it will be unmanned. There will be routine maintenance occasionally.

No significant impacts are identified during operations. There are negligible air emissions from

then. We'll then work on preparing responses to those comments, and then the MND will be brought to the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Board of Commissioners for their consideration. This would happen after the board would also approve the record of decision -- which Evelyn mentioned -- actually approving that preferred alternative, next would be the CEQA adoption.

We would then file a notice of determination, and then we move on to the final design and action that, again, Evelyn covered very well. Lastly, the actual CEQA document prepared for this project, the mitigated negative declaration, is also available at various information repositories including this library and on-line at this web address. And that conclude my portions.

MR. VELA: Thank you, Ms. Parker. Really appreciate all that information. We did not receive any physical public comment card for this portion of the presentation, but if you would like, we do have available time for any public comments that you would like to do now orally.

If not, I do want to remind everyone that both of these presentations are not only available at the sites that we discussed, they're also available on the LADWP web site, and they're also -- if you like to public comment, you can e-mail, for example, Ms. Parker at the e-mail

operations of the pumps and no greenhouse gas emissions would exceed thresholds. Any and all waste water produced by the facility would be handled by existing sewer system with no capacity constraints and noise from running the pumps is found to be less significant. It is a very urban area. The planes fly overhead all the time. You won't be able to hear them.

So as I mentioned as part of the mitigated negative declaration, there were two mitigation measures incorporated. One for biological resources which will involve -- during the construction doing nesting bird surveys. If construction is initiated during the nesting season -- and that's a requirement, actually -- to protect birds which are protected by the migratory bird treaty act and surveying for bats -- another species of concern, just to avoid impact to them.

And, of course, avoiding protected trees such as oaks. We do not anticipate any being impacted. Lastly, there will be provision for monitoring and resource protection in the event that archaeological or tribal resources are exposed during the construction activity. Next steps have been mentioned in the public comment period for the CEQA -- well, for everything described today, closes on January 23rd.

So we encourage you to submit your comments by

here. You can also provide public comment by mail and mail it to the department of water and power.

And then also, you can fill out the front of the card and also the back of the card to provide comments to both either for the remedial plan or for the CEQA presentation. Are there any comments for Ms. Parker today?

MS. MACADAMS: Thank you.

MR. VELA: Go ahead.

MS. MACADAMS: Just thank you. You guys did a great ioh.

MR. VELA: Really appreciate that. And we want to

thank all of you for coming out. I know there were elements out there. I know it's raining. Please, please drive safe. Again, really want to appreciate you coming out together. It's really important that, you know, as a community, we come together and learn about our projects. So with that, if there's anything else -- yes. Okay. Great. Thank you for pointing that out. Yes, can you state your name please for our transcriber?

MS. MORIN: Yes. I'm Inez Morin. I'm a resident. I've been noticing on your map, you've been showing the west side of the park. I took pictures of some drilling taking place north of here, west of the 170 freeway. Is that also part of your planning process?

	53	
1	MR. VELA: Ms. Cortez-Davis? Would you like to	
2	MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: Just to clarify. Within the park?	
3	MS. MORIN: Yes.	
4	MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: Yes. So there's some maintenance	
5	activities that are happening right now. Because we do	
6	have wells in the North Hollywood West Well Field that	
7	continue to be operational. Some of which are down for	
8	maintenance. We have some maintenance activity happening	
9	right now. So if you see equipment there, it's for	
10	maintenance that is occurring right now.	
11	MS. PARKER: In the existing well field?	
12	MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: In the existing well fields that	
13	are there now. Yeah.	
14	MS. MORIN: So that's what's going on north of here?	
15	MS. CORTEZ-DAVIS: Within the park? Within the fenced	
16	area? Yes. That's maintenance activity. I don't have a	
17	schedule that I look up at on how long they're going to be	
18	there. It's within our fenced-in area of the LADWP	
19	property. Right.	
20	MS. MORIN: Okay. Thank you.	
21	MR. VELA: Any other comments or questions? Okay.	
22 23	Well, thank you for coming tonight. We really appreciate	
23	it. Thank you.	
2 4 25	(Proceedings concluded at 7:20 p.m.)	
25		

				<u> </u>
	15 10 10 44 12 14	10 10 40 0 51 0	40.20	1
A	15:18,19 44:13,14	10:12 42:9 51:3	40:20	basins 9:7
abatement 37:19	address 8:18,19	answer 30:24 44:21	arrive 8:7 26:1	bats 50:15
ability 15:2,5 20:9	14:22 16:8 41:1	anticipate 43:23	arrives 14:17	bears 12:25
30:14	51:15	44:1,6,13,22	arriving 12:22	beginning 41:4
able 6:16 8:11,22	addressed 33:15	50:18	Arthur 40:1	believe 30:8 33:17
9:13 10:1,19 16:3	addresses 40:16	anticipated 45:4	artificial 39:10	37:7 38:10
29:6 36:5,9 44:12	addressing 33:16	anticipating 39:6	asking 32:7 42:16	beneficial 8:23 23:7
50:7	adoption 28:4 51:8	39:11,16	43:17	36:10 41:7
acceptance 24:18	advanced 23:22	anticipative 22:4	assess 17:25 43:7	benefits 41:6
24:23	41:14	anymore 29:15	associated 19:11	best 25:16 26:5
access 15:2 33:1	Affairs 45:18	appear 10:15 11:2	audience 38:13	better 10:18
39:4	affect 43:21 44:20	APPEARANCES	available 5:19 6:1,3	big 19:19 27:17
account 41:16,18	agencies 37:4 46:10	3:1	14:12 19:5,6	billion 21:8,8,11
accurate 44:5	agency 13:11 20:24	appears 33:12	23:21 29:2 37:21	22:7
accurately 6:5,6	24:21 46:15	appendix 25:25	51:13,19,22,23	biological 47:16
achieve 8:5	agenda 15:24	41:22	avoid 46:12 48:1	49:16 50:10
acknowledge 31:16	ago 42:22	applicable 24:14	50:16	bird 50:11,14
act 6:12 16:20,21	ahead 38:12 52:9	application 14:6	avoiding 50:17	birds 50:14
46:1,8 47:12 48:6	air 47:16 49:25	47:24	aware 17:15 35:3	bit 8:13 10:3,23
50:14	airport 33:19	applied 47:1	B	38:9 47:6
action 1:7 2:6 5:9	allowed 8:7 26:1	applies 46:2,14		blending 22:11
6:11,18 7:9,24 8:3	allows 16:22 27:9	apply 46:24	B 21:8 25:25	blue 6:17 29:13
8:20 15:23 16:6	alternate 21:17	appreciate 7:17	back 5:16 6:19	board 13:12,17,20
16:12 18:1,8	22:9,12,13,19	31:16 51:16 52:12	11:23 16:1 29:14	21:1 23:10 24:1,2
19:15,18 20:4,5	25:6	52:15 53:22	32:17,21 37:6	24:22 28:3,14
20:15 21:14,22	alternative 8:8 17:1	appreciated 35:14	43:1 52:4	37:2,17 46:22
22:16 25:6 27:24	21:14,15,17,19,22	appropriate 47:22	background 8:2,13	51:3,5
28:12 29:5 33:20	22:2,9,15,16,20	approve 46:21,23	10:23	bottom 12:4
34:23 35:5,6 36:1	22:24,25 23:1,19	51:5	balance 26:5	brief 45:24
43:19 44:17 46:21	25:5,6,7,12,18	approving 51:6	balancing 24:15,23	briefly 14:2 46:1
47:8 51:10	26:2,3,3,10 27:4	approximately	baseball 39:15	Brita 27:17
actions 13:14 16:7	28:15 36:1,15	28:18	based 26:2 44:1,6	broken 27:10
17:7 21:18 22:3	44:11 46:19,23,25	aquifer 9:16	48:4	brought 51:2
22:11 23:2 25:7	47:10 48:4,7,14	aquifers 9:19	baseline 19:11	building 32:24
33:15 36:18 46:14	51:7	archaeological	basically 8:4,21 9:1	48:18 49:3
activated 27:16	alternatives 8:6,7	50:20	9:12 10:17 12:13	buildings 39:12
active 14:14 29:22	15:21 16:13 19:18	area 9:4 10:11,15	15:2,10 16:18,22 20:4 21:23 22:10	bulldozers 49:6
30:12	19:21,25 20:3	10:19 11:10,12	23:11 26:13 27:17	bullet 49:7
actively 30:18 34:2	21:13 24:7 25:2,3	13:9,19,23 14:1	28:4 29:4 33:18	Burbank 10:12
activities 35:3 43:5	25:22 47:4	15:9 16:7 17:8,17	35:1 36:3,5,14	17:9 34:16,17,19
53:5	amount 30:13	17:18 20:14 22:22	37:20 39:3 40:25	business 18:25 49:1
activity 13:24 44:25	39:20	23:12 26:14 28:16	41:6 42:1,8 43:25	businesses 18:21
50:21 53:8,16	analysis 37:12	31:5,7,7 32:12,23	basin 8:14,23,24,25	35:22
actual 6:16,19,22	41:15 48:5,10	33:18 37:14 38:5	9:12,18 12:6 23:8	<u>C</u>
7:8 48:10 49:3	49:11	39:6,17 42:9	23:9 30:12,22	California 1:3,17
51:11	analyzed 19:21	44:16 48:12 50:6	31:4 36:10 40:12	2:2,18,23 5:1 6:12
add 6:19	48:3 49:12	53:16,18	40:15,18 41:4,8,9	11:19 20:25 22:6
addition 34:7	analyzes 19:17	areas 9:6,18 14:3	41:11,12,14,17,17	22:21 24:20 45:25
additional 11:6	Angeles 1:2 2:1,20	16:22 31:3 40:18	42:2,10,12,13	46:8,9 47:12
			74.4,10,14,13	70.0,9 47.12

call 6:24 9:20 24:8	civil 7:20	compare 25:2	constructed 49:20	controlled 43:9
45:16	clarification 35:19	compare 25.2	constructing 48:6	controls 23:5,20
called 15:23 16:5	clarify 21:6 53:2	compelled 8:21	48:14	26:17
16:12,19 17:9	classified 46:20	compensation	construction 28:12	convey 12:2
34:4	clean 19:14	16:20	28:16,19 45:3	coordinate 34:21
calling 7:6,11	cleanup 19:15	complete 45:4	48:9,11,15,19,23	coordinates 35:2
cancer 18:11	20:11 37:18,19	completely 14:16	48:25 49:6,8,12	coordinating 17:6
Canyon 38:1,4	clear 32:2	14:20	50:11,12,21	17:16 34:2,9
capable 24:2	Clearly 24:12	compliance 17:2	contact 13:7 20:24	40:19
capacity 50:4	close 24:24 32:22	24:13	contain 15:13,17	coordination 13:20
capturing 23:3	44:9	complimenting	26:16	34:20 42:11
carbon 27:16	closely 34:9	43:18	contained 44:2,8	copies 28:24
card 6:17,22 7:2,2	closer 30:17	comply 47:18,21	containing 23:14	copy 29:3
29:13 31:17 35:16	closes 50:24	components 27:11	43:25	correct 35:9,20
45:8,12 51:18	clusters 9:25	compounds 15:9	containment 22:3	38:3
52:4,4	come 6:24 7:12,16	16:8 24:3 34:7	23:1	correctly 37:24
cards 6:15	7:18 43:15 52:17	comprehensive	contaminant 8:18	38:2
case 20:24 47:5	comes 30:6 38:14	16:19	12:9,14 13:8 14:2	Cortez-Dave 31:15
categories 24:8	41:6	concentrated 37:14	14:17 15:6,12	Cortez-Davis 3:4
category 24:9,14,17	coming 5:6 7:10,15	37:25	19:10 20:15,22	4:3 7:7,14,20
caused 11:24	38:11 49:2 52:13	concentration 12:9	21:3 36:11 37:5,9	29:10 30:8,10
causes 27:9	52:15 53:22	12:16 15:1 20:22	37:13 42:18,24	31:2,20 32:6,10
CEQA 6:12,25	commencing 2:18	37:13	43:11 46:18	32:15,20 33:6,13
38:11 45:9,11,15	comment 5:21 6:5	concentrations	contaminants	33:20 34:1,21
46:1,3,7,8,20,24	6:15,16,23 7:1,2	11:24 12:13,14,21	11:23 13:4 15:7	35:1,11,13,24,25
47:1,9,9,18,21	28:2 29:7,13,17	12:22 18:9 22:5	16:9 19:10,12,23	37:1 38:3,8,23
48:5,10 49:11,14	29:19 31:17,18	23:4 30:20	20:13 33:17 35:5	39:11,14,22,24,25
50:23 51:7,11	35:14 38:9 40:1	conceptually 39:1	36:15 42:22,25	40:8,11 42:18
52:5	45:8,11,12,14,15	concern 29:21	43:13 44:15	43:22 45:6 53:1,2
CERCLA 16:19	50:22 51:18,24	50:15	contaminated	53:4,12,15
17:7 21:17 26:9	52:1	conclude 28:21	23:18 29:23 36:24	cost 16:20,24 17:3,8
certain 11:25 14:3	comments 6:19	51:15	contamination	24:17 25:21 26:1
37:10 47:1	8:11 24:25 27:25	concluded 53:24	16:23,25 18:1	costs 25:24
Certified 2:22	29:12 30:6 38:13	concludes 29:9	22:18 23:13 30:16	Cotterell 3:3 5:14
cetera 30:20	46:7 50:25 51:2	conclusion 18:6,9	33:11 42:17	5:17,18 29:14
challenges 14:15	51:20 52:4,6	conclusions 37:16	contexts 12:10	31:21 45:19
challenging 14:6	53:21	49:11	contingency 21:16	couple 9:24 12:3
characteristics 8:3	commercially	conducted 17:21,25	21:25	13:2 20:1,16
10:24	23:21	conduit 48:16	continue 8:22 22:1	42:15
characterization	commissioners	conjunction 33:21	38:9 41:10,12	course 11:16 23:7
19:9	28:3 46:22 51:4	consider 24:24 28:4	42:5 53:7	43:1 48:21 50:17
Charles 31:17	commissioning	28:14 47:12,15	continued 36:18	court 42:8
chemical 14:4 27:9	48:9	consideration 51:4	42:3	cover 5:8 6:17
chemicals 43:2	common 41:11	considerations	continues 18:21	45:24 47:2
choose 46:22	47:15	44:24	continuing 42:12	covered 43:22
choosing 36:7	communication	considered 46:3	control 13:11,21,25	51:11
city 9:18 10:11,12	11:20	consistent 22:1	21:1 23:10,11	covering 7:22
10:12,16 34:24	community 24:22	constant 11:20	24:1 32:24 37:2	covers 8:2
40:6 41:24	27:25 52:17	constraints 50:4	37:17	cranes 49:6

				50
criteria 19:24 20:2	department 1:2 2:1	44:25 46:1	effects 47:23 48:1	18:25 19:4,24
20:3 24:5,8,13,15	2:20 45:17 46:21	discussed 22:7	effort 15:13 42:4	20:2 24:5 25:3,4
24:18,19,23 25:3	47:19 51:3 52:2	43:24 51:23	48:20	Evelyn 3:4 4:3 7:7
25:4,10,19 26:2,4	depending 9:3 28:9	discussing 10:8	efforts 17:14 35:2	7:20 37:23 45:23
critical 8:24 12:7	30:19,25 42:18,24	26:17 35:6	40:20 41:13 42:14	46:15 47:11 48:5
15:4	depends 43:10	dissolves 14:16	Eight 11:1	48:17 51:6,11
CSR 1:23 2:21	deposited 42:25	distant 39:3	either 25:21 52:5	evening 5:5 7:15
cultural 47:16	depth 8:19 31:8	district 22:21	electronic 29:1	evenings 7:16
49:16	36:2 41:23	division 11:19	elements 13:25	event 50:20
currently 33:2	depths 30:25	20:25 22:1 24:1	52:14	everybody 7:17
39:22	describe 47:25	dizzy 32:22 44:10	emissions 49:25	evolution 34:6
customers 18:16,18	described 8:12	document 16:1	50:1	exact 38:24
18:20 22:23 27:22	46:16,25 47:11	29:6 40:13,16	encourage 50:25	exactly 21:23 31:25
10.20 22.23 27.22	48:18 50:23	47:22 51:12	engineer 7:20	32:3 37:9,10 43:6
D	describing 26:10	documenting 28:5	English 6:2	examined 15:21
D 4:1	design 28:7,11	documents 8:9	entities 16:23	example 25:9 47:17
darker 12:14,15,21	38:24 39:8 48:8	15:24 16:4 17:2	environment 6:12	51:25
38:5	51:10	17:22,24 19:5,6	20:7 24:11 25:12	exceed 18:21 50:2
data 12:20 14:25	desire 8:10	20:18 21:6,9	25:20 26:8 42:5	exceeding 22:6,18
19:16 21:9 44:7	destroy 23:24	28:24 29:1 34:3	44:24 46:1,8 47:3	26:24
date 25:1 45:20,21	destroyed 27:12	47:21	47:12,13,23 48:2	excess 27:13,16,18
David 3:2 5:7 7:14	detail 19:21 28:10	doing 7:10 50:11	environmental	exercise 44:7
8:11 29:8 45:23	30:1 41:20,20	domestic 21:20	13:10 16:19 45:17	exist 15:9
45:25 46:6	details 38:25	22:25 46:20	46:3,11	existed 43:3
Davis-Cortez 38:15	detected 11:22	dots 9:25	EPA 14:7 17:6,16	existing 39:20
Dawn 3:3 5:14	determination 51:9	downstream 23:17	20:1 23:25 24:6	40:19 49:8 50:3
29:14	determine 49:12	drawing 23:12	24:19 33:16,21	53:11,12
day 48:23	develop 19:16	drilling 52:23	34:2,9,22,25 35:1	exists 32:25
days 9:12	developed 19:21,25	drinking 9:15	37:2	expanding 40:7
deal 35:4,8 46:17	21:13 47:25	11:19,21 18:5,21	EPA's 25:2	41:25
dealing 33:23	developing 19:13	19:2 20:25 22:1	equipment 39:1,7	explain 23:6
decades 11:16 43:1	develops 19:17	26:23,24 30:5	48:17,18 49:5	explained 25:24
decision 28:4,5	different 14:5	drive 52:15	53:9	35:5
51:6	15:10 18:18 19:25	drop 42:6	era 43:2	exposed 50:21
decisions 12:24	20:17 21:5 22:13	due 22:18 45:13,19	Escobar 42:16	exposure 18:3
declaration 7:3	25:22 33:16 36:8	DWP 39:12,20	especially 7:16	extent 19:9
46:4 47:19,20	36:17 40:24 42:19		essentially 23:14	extract 10:1,20
50:9 51:13	differently 22:13	E	40:14	extracted 9:20
deep 9:6 31:9,11,12	differs 22:25	E 4:1	established 16:18	extracting 17:13
deeper 31:3,8	dioxane 37:21	e-mail 51:25,25	17:18 20:21 23:9	23:4
define 9:9 20:19	direct 21:20 22:25	earlier 13:1 15:8	24:6 49:13	extremely 9:19
defined 13:5 47:8	directly 19:1	26:10,18 44:21	et 30:20	eye 32:22
definitely 13:6	directs 9:14	early 28:16 37:7	evaluate 16:7	eyes 44:9
definitions 13:6	discharge 13:15	easier 14:14	evaluated 8:6 21:16	
degree 26:7	disclose 46:11	east 10:6 17:8	21:25 25:15	F
delivered 26:21	discovered 8:17	32:11 39:13	evaluating 16:13	facilitator 3:2 5:7
27:19,21 32:15	discretionary 46:14	easy 14:10	19:11	facilities 44:22
delivering 49:3	47:8	edges 12:19	evaluation 17:1,21	facility 28:13,19
demonstrated	discuss 8:14 11:23	effectiveness 6:15	17:24 18:13,15,16	33:3 49:4,20,22
23:24	0.1.11.25	24:16		50:3
	1	<u> </u>	ı	l

*			I	I
factors 46:3 47:2	Finding 22:13	20:2 21:18 28:10	handouts 36:22	human 20:6 23:2
47:13 49:12	findings 19:16	29:3 31:11,12	happen 5:22 18:7	24:10 25:11,19
facts 14:8	first 5:6 7:25 24:8	32:17,21 38:12	36:3 51:4	36:16 42:4
fair 20:3 25:16,21	24:10 25:4,9	39:16 43:20 52:9	happened 37:11	hundreds 31:8,9
fairly 9:6	28:13 35:18 36:25	goals 20:4,11	happening 13:12	hydraulic 23:5,10
familiar 20:18 30:1	38:16,16 43:17	goes 27:5,7,11 36:2	13:20,24 16:15	23:19 26:17
far 11:7 13:24 43:1	fit 39:2,8	41:23	17:16 31:13 34:22	hydrogen 23:22
feasibility 8:1 16:3	five 11:7 49:2	going 6:25 9:7,8,21	35:4 41:13 53:5,8	27:6,7,13,15,18
19:8,12,17,20	flow 27:1 30:2	10:8,21 14:12	happens 18:3 21:24	hydrogeology
25:25 36:2 41:16	fly 50:6	17:22 20:1 21:12	22:8 32:16	10:17
41:22 46:18	focused 48:5	28:5,6 29:8 31:8	hard 10:3 28:24	hypothetical 19:1
feasible 46:13	focuses 19:8 20:15	32:2,3,21 34:11	29:3 38:3	
federal 16:18	focusing 10:21	36:16 38:8,12	health 12:5 13:1	I
feet 9:2,2,3,5 30:3,4	14:22	39:19 43:1 44:24	17:21,24 18:13	idea 16:5
31:8,9,11	following 34:2 48:8	45:7,24,25 49:21	19:3 20:6 23:3	identified 26:15
Felipe 42:16	footprint 38:17,17	53:14,17	24:10 25:11,19	37:4 44:11 47:11
fence 39:17	38:19 39:8	good 5:5 7:15 25:16	26:8 36:16,18	48:4 49:16,24
fenced 11:10 23:12	forever 19:3	25:16,21 33:5	42:4	identifying 19:18
26:14 39:6,23,24	format 28:25	35:25	healthy 42:13	46:17
53:15	former 13:18,24	Google 14:10	hear 50:7	immediate 35:20
fenced-in 11:12	37:4,14,18	government 46:15	heard 2:19 16:16	imminent 36:12
32:23,25 49:9	forward 15:22 33:4	grabbed 9:10	16:17 29:25,25	impact 45:5 47:3
53:18	found 20:14 50:5	gradients 23:17	41:13	48:2 49:10,22
Fernando 8:13,25	fourteen 11:9	granular 27:16	heavy 49:5	50:16
9:1 10:5 30:12,22	freeway 10:7 11:6	great 33:13 38:19	help 5:21 38:15	impacted 13:3,4
31:3 33:10 40:3	38:6 39:6 52:24	45:9 52:10,19	Hi 5:17 31:23	16:23 36:4 44:23
40:18 41:14 42:12	Friday 49:1	greenhouse 50:1	high 8:17	50:18
fewer 48:24	front 14:3 52:3	greeted 5:16	higher 11:24 12:16	impacts 46:11,12
field 5:10 7:4,9,25	full 10:4	ground 9:5,13,17	12:21 15:1	49:15,19,24
10:8,9,22,24 11:1	Fulton 11:7	10:2,17 15:15	highest 26:7 37:13	impaired 15:3
15:4 26:12 32:22	further 32:21 40:22	18:17 23:5,18,21	highly 11:21	implementability
32:24 33:2 39:5	43:19	31:11 36:11 43:3	historically 20:10	24:17
39:18 40:21,21,22	future 20:11 36:6	44:1	history 10:23 11:15	implementing
40:23 47:7,10	36:14,20	groundwater 7:21	Hollywood 1:17	22:10 48:7
53:6,11	G	8:14,18,23,24 9:1	2:17 5:1,9 7:3,9	important 10:14
fields 9:21 10:3,10		9:5,7,12,17,20	7:24 10:9,21,23	12:4 13:2 16:25
39:10,14,15 40:24	gas 50:1	14:18 15:2 17:13	10:25 15:3 17:9	18:12,23 25:1
53:12	general 8:12 13:23	17:25 18:4,6	26:12,22 27:20	43:10 52:16
figure 13:21 43:8	17:17	21:19 22:14,24	29:5 31:25 32:9	Importantly 49:7
file 28:24 51:9	generally 9:4 13:19	23:8,16 25:8	32:10 34:4 40:17	in-depth 11:14
fill 6:22 7:5 45:10	14:5 37:14	26:11 30:3 31:10	40:20,21 47:7,10	inciteful 42:20
52:3	gentleman 42:21	34:10 35:19 36:24	53:6	include 24:15
filled 29:13	getting 13:14 30:5	37:12 40:2,12,14	home 7:18 18:25	included 27:4 includes 24:18 27:4
Filter 27:17	give 10:4,22 25:9	41:8,9,21	27:14	
filtration 27:5	26:6	groups 9:20,21	homes 35:22	including 13:4 21:18 22:11 25:21
final 16:6 28:11,11	gives 26:7 giving 25:15	guys 52:10	Hopefully 9:10	40:19,21 48:8,15
51:10	Glendale 10:12	H	hoping 41:1	49:6 51:14
finally 43:16	17:10	half 28:18	hours 49:1	incorporated 49:18
find 8:9 46:5	go 5:11 11:7 16:2	handled 50:3	huge 39:19	50:10
	80 J.11 11./ 10.4	Hanuicu 30.3		30.10

incorporation	16:4 19:7,8 43:8	Lastly 50:18 51:11	looked 31:5 39:1	measures 47:25
49:19	46:17	Laurel 38:1,4	47:9,15 48:10	50:9
increase 41:3	involve 22:2,10	law 16:18,22 17:2,8	looking 14:13	mediation 41:2
increased 40:4	25:13 39:9 48:8	26:9	40:13 41:20	meet 18:21 24:9
independent 17:5	48:15 50:11	layer 9:16	Los 1:2 2:1,20	34:13
indicate 29:20	involved 10:13 23:2	learn 52:17	10:11 42:9 51:3	meeting 1:6 2:5 5:8
indirect 40:5 41:3	involvement 13:10	leave 40:11	lot 21:9 28:10 29:11	6:4 26:6 33:24
individual 10:1	13:22	lecture 29:25	42:11	meets 25:18 26:4
26:18	involves 27:24	led 46:17	lots 9:1	34:12
industrial 14:4	issue 41:2	left 13:23 14:1	low 49:21	members 28:1
43:2	issued 11:19 37:19	length 46:16		mentioned 15:7,15
Inez 52:21		let's 14:18 24:5	M	20:14 23:12 33:15
information 7:22	J	level 20:20,23 21:7	MacAdams 31:19	40:24 41:4 42:2
8:10 28:22 29:11	January 1:18 2:19	22:6,19 24:22	31:20,23 32:9,14	50:8,22 51:6
37:1,21 41:22	5:1 24:25 25:1	30:2 42:6,13	32:17 33:5,7,19	mentions 36:22
43:12 44:23 45:2	45:13 50:24	49:18	33:23 34:14,25	met 25:11
46:6 51:13,17	Jim 38:14	levels 8:17 21:3,4	35:9,12 52:8,10	metropolitan 22:20
informative 31:24	job 1:24 52:11	30:6 42:6 49:19	mail 52:1,2	Michael 35:15,15
informed 40:8	JOHN 1:23 2:21	liability 16:20,21	maintain 8:24	mid-way 28:17
ingesting 18:4	K	library 11:4 12:12	maintained 28:20	migration 20:7
inhale 18:6		51:14	maintenance 49:23	migratory 50:14
inhaling 18:5	keep 12:17,24	light 23:23 27:8	53:4,8,8,10,16	mind 12:17
initial 31:5	42:13	likelihood 23:16	making 13:1 14:12	minute 17:23 47:2
initially 43:14	key 48:15	limit 20:7,21	27:24	49:17
initiated 50:12	kind 14:19 15:14	limited 15:16	manage 13:22 15:5	minutes 20:1 42:22
initiating 8:20	15:14 22:3 26:6	line 12:4	15:12,13,22 44:15	mitigate 46:12 48:1
installation 48:16	31:4 33:18 36:8	lines 42:1	management 15:7	mitigated 7:2 46:4
installed 11:16 18:3	knock 36:5 know 5:23 8:4	link 14:8,14	manager 7:21	47:19,20 50:8
31:10 33:4	15:10 17:15 31:12	linked 21:2,2	mandate 42:8	51:12
installing 48:18	34:19 37:11,20	list 25:5 49:17	mandates 42:9	mitigation 47:4,24
institutional 21:18	52:13,14,16	listed 20:12	manner 15:6	49:17 50:9
22:11 25:7 36:17	Kompare 38:14,18	little 8:13 9:25 10:3	map 9:23 11:3,5	mix 14:19
instruction 45:11	38:21 39:9,12,19	10:22 12:21 14:14	12:8,15,15 31:5	mixed 26:22
integrated 40:6	39:23	41:23 47:6	33:9,18 34:15,18	mixes 14:20,20
41:24	39.23	local 46:10	35:9,10 37:24	15:16 27:7
intent 16:7 47:2	L	located 10:6,9 11:8	52:22	MND 47:20 51:2
intently 37:25	LA 9:18 10:13	11:9 12:12 13:18	maps 33:11 March 12:1	MNDs 48:4
interested 14:9	13:16,20 23:9,25	32:11,20 33:8,12	master 40:2,25 42:9	mode 49:21
25:25 27:25 28:1	34:24 37:2,16	location 10:7 32:5,8	42:11	model 41:20
interim 1:7 2:6 5:9	LADWP 5:15 7:21	32:23 34:6	materials 9:17 49:3	modeling 41:19,21
6:11,18 7:9,24	8:2,21 12:4 15:21	locations 18:19	Matter 1:6 2:5	44:2,5,7
15:23 16:6,12	28:3 29:4 33:1,23	28:23 29:4	maximum 21:3	modifying 24:18
20:5,15 27:23	39:22 47:8 49:8	long 11:17 14:7	MCLs 21:4	Monday 49:1
28:12 29:5 34:5 35:7	51:23 53:18	18:19 53:17 long-term 24:16	mean 18:12 23:10	monitor 18:18 31:10
intersection 11:8	LADWP.com/re	longer 37:7	24:20,21 36:8,13	monitoring 22:14
introduce 5:6,14	29:2	look 13:6 25:17,20	meaning 22:12	50:19
6:9 7:7 27:6	landfill 13:18,24	26:10 28:25 37:23	30:14	months 12:1 48:10
investigation 8:1	37:4,5,8,15,18	53:17	means 21:19,21,22	48:22 49:3
mresugation 0.1	landing 16:2	33.17	measure 49:17	TU,44 TJ,3
			1	Į.

Morin 52:21,21 53:14 operating 11:17 16:14 24:2 29:12 **plume** 12:8,15,19 33:2 48:6 parties 16:24 28:1 53:3,14,20 **note** 45:19 13:13,23 14:1,23 **Morsivar** 35:15,16 **notice** 51:9 operation 17:11 33:22 16:14 23:15 36:4 35:18 36:21 noticing 52:22 45:4 48:13 49:21 parts 9:4 12:15 36:5.13 37:24 move 15:21 28:7 notification 20:20 operational 11:13 21:10 33:12 43:19,23,25 44:1 12:23 37:8 53:7 44:7 45:7 51:10 21:7 22:6.19 pass 27:18 moves 14:18 27:1 notifications 21:3 operations 49:25 **PCE** 13:4 20:12 **plumes** 26:17 November 12:1 **PDF** 28:25 29:1 33:4 50:1 **point** 9:10 10:14 **MWD** 22:21 **number** 9:7 10:10 opportunity 46:7 peak 48:22 49:1 12:7 13:1,2 18:12 orally 51:20 15:19 16:4 17:11 **period** 50:23 18:23 20:16 27:19 N order 6:24 26:16 21:14 28:20 30:13 permanently 36:20 27:22 28:22 35:18 N4:130:20.21 37:6 37:19 47:18 permit 11:18 21:2 38:16 43:10 48:1 **Nadia** 3:5 4:4 45:16 40:23 47:13 22:2 **organic** 16:8 24:3 pointing 52:19 name 6:24 17:7 permit-limits 21:1 **points** 18:11 34:7 0 52:20 organics 15:11 permitted 30:14 **Pollock** 40:23 national 21:16,25 o'clock 38:12 peroxide 23:22 polluters 33:22 outline 40:14.25 oaks 50:18 necessarily 26:20 outside 5:20 31:7 27:6,7,13,15,19 poor 25:14,16,21 30:24 36:19 44:3 objectives 8:4 34:23 39:17 40:20 **personnel** 48:22,24 **pop** 21:5 necessary 16:8 39:2 19:13,15 20:4 pertaining 5:19 overall 24:10 **portion** 9:13 28:21 44:15 48:19 **observe** 48:21 overhead 50:6 PHAM 1:23 2:21 39:5 51:18 need 6:2 8:18.19 obviously 28:10 owns 39:12 **phase** 38:24 39:8 portions 13:22 14:21 16:10 17:20 34:10 oxidations 23:22 phases 48:8,15 51:15 34:11 35:7 36:9 occasionally 49:23 physical 28:23 32:4 ozone 23:23 **pose** 35:20 39:7 41:12 42:3,3 occur 48:2,25 49:8 32:8 48:6 51:17 **posed** 17:25 P 44:13 46:17 occurring 48:12 physically 45:1 position 30:23 **p.m** 2:18 5:2 45:14 needs 34:13 37:17 53:10 48:11 possibilities 13:21 **negative** 46:4 47:19 official 28:5 53:24 **picture** 26:13 possible 10:18 47:20 50:9 51:12 **Oh** 31:23 **pad** 48:16 pictures 52:23 42:23 43:14 negligible 49:25 oil 14:19 Padilla-Campos pieces 49:5 possibly 18:10 neighbors 48:20 okay 10:20 11:14 43:17 **piping** 48:16 22:11,14 43:5 page 4:2 16:2 neither 25:12 17:19 19:23 21:11 place 32:2,13 36:25 **posted** 9:11 17:22 nesting 50:11,12 pamphlet 29:22 25:17 32:10 34:21 41:19,21 43:5 **potable** 40:5 41:3 net 25:22 38:19,20 39:9 park 11:10,11 48:21 52:24 potential 13:15 new 38:11 43:22 44:9 52:18 12:11 23:12 26:12 places 33:9,14 18:10 46:11 49:15 53:20.21 **newer** 31:10 26:13 28:16 32:23 **plan** 1:7 2:6 5:8 potentially 18:5 nine 19:24 on-going 17:14 33:6 39:20 43:21 6:10,18,20,21 7:8 43:4 44:15 47:23 noise 47:17 50:4 on-line 51:14 44:20,22 45:5 7:23 8:12 20:10 power 1:2 2:1,20 non-cancer 18:11 once 14:17 23:20 48:12.20 49:10 45:17 46:22 51:3 21:16,25 23:9 non-hazardous 28:10 44:4 49:20 52:23 53:2,15 32:18 40:2 52:5 52:2 Parker 3:5 4:4 27:10 ones 47:15 planes 50:6 PowerPoint 14:12 normal 48:25 onsite 48:22,24 45:16,23 51:16,25 planning 7:21 28:9 **pre-** 27:5 normally 24:19 **operable** 17:9,10 52:6 53:11 52:25 **prefer** 28:25 29:3 **north** 1:17 2:17 5:1 17:10,17 34:5,16 part 6:25 7:6 10:25 plans 22:12 28:11 **preferred** 8:8 26:2 34:17.19 5:9 7:3,9,24 10:8 19:3,19 20:4 21:7 40:6,6 41:24,25 26:3 28:15 46:19 10:21,23,25 15:3 **operate** 11:18 20:9 21:16 22:7 26:17 **plant** 32:19 38:16 46:25 47:10 48:4 17:9,10 26:12,22 36:7 43:24 44:8 27:14 29:23 31:4 38:18,19 48:7,14 51:7 27:20 29:5 31:25 44:12 34:23 41:15 43:7 please 29:13 32:21 preliminary 20:11 32:9.10 34:4 operated 18:3 45:10 50:8 52:25 37:23 40:9 52:14 38:24 39:15 40:16,20,21 20:10 28:19 37:6 **particular** 10:15,16 52:14.20 preparation 48:16 47:7,9 52:24 53:6 39:17 13:13,21 15:6

prepared 8:1 19:20	41:11 46:10 52:17	purple 33:11	37:6 43:3,9,10	5:9 6:11 7:9,24,25
46:5 47:19,21	property 33:2	pursue 15:20 16:24	47:9 51:16 52:12	8:3,6 15:23 16:3
51:12	39:21,22 49:8	17:3 40:17	52:15,16 53:22	19:7,15,18,19
preparing 51:1	53:19	pursuing 17:1	reason 8:16 12:6	20:4,5,15 21:12
present 13:9 19:23	proposal 7:3 17:5	42:14	15:14 42:17	28:12 35:6 46:16
24:3 25:22	proposed 1:7 2:6	purview 5:20	reasons 15:19	52:5
presentation 5:12	5:8,13 6:10,18 7:8	put 19:1 39:7 43:2	42:19	remediated 41:10
5:22 6:7,13,16 7:1	7:23 32:18,18	put 19.1 39.7 43.2 putting 39:10	receive 8:11 27:14	remediation 6:18
7:6,11,12,23	33:3 46:10,25	putting 39.10	51:17	12:6 14:21 19:7,8
14:12 28:21 29:9	47:13 48:3	0	received 27:24	24:4 27:3,3,24
29:17 31:20 32:3	proposing 40:17	quality 6:12 13:11	receiving 18:24	28:7 29:5 40:2,4,6
38:10,11 43:18	protect 20:6 36:16	18:20 23:10 24:1	27:20	40:14 41:1,5,24
,	42:4 50:13	37:2,17 46:1,8		42:4,14 43:8
44:24 45:7,9,15 51:18 52:6	protected 23:3	47:12,16	recognized 23:25 recommend 5:25	•
	-	quarter 28:14		remedy 16:6 24:16
presentations 5:20	36:19 50:14,17	question 30:4,10,11	recommended	28:5,9,13 33:4
6:10 51:22	protection 12:5	31:22,23 32:7	49:18	34:5 44:4
preserve 17:3	13:11 24:10 25:11	33:7,13 35:18,25	record 28:4 51:5	remember 13:3
preventing 47:3	25:19 26:7 50:20	36:21 40:1,9	recorded 6:5,6	remind 45:8 51:21
primarily 47:3 49:9	provide 30:22 52:1	42:21 43:16	recovery 16:20,24	remove 20:8 27:15
priority 12:5	52:4		17:3,8	27:18 36:11
probably 9:24	provides 26:5	questions 5:19 13:8	recreational 49:10	removed 22:5,17
14:10	provision 50:19	29:21 42:15 43:22	recycled 40:7 41:14	removing 23:4 24:3
problem 33:8 38:22	proximity 14:24	46:7 53:21	41:25	36:15
proceed 25:11 28:6	public 1:6 2:5 3:3,4	quickly 14:18	recycling 40:5 41:3	replenish 41:14
42:4 43:8	4:2 5:15 6:5,23	15:16	reduce 16:10,14	replenished 41:10
Proceedings 1:16	8:11 12:5 13:1	quite 26:6 39:4	44:18 49:18,19	42:3,10
2:16 53:24	24:25 27:25 28:2	quiz 17:23 25:1	reduces 23:16	replenishing 41:12
process 27:1 43:21	29:7,12 35:14	R	reference 34:4	42:6
44:20 45:12 46:15	36:18 38:9,13	raining 52:14	referenced 20:17	reported 1:22 2:21
47:11 52:25	45:11,14,15 46:12	rainy 7:15	referring 30:12	Reporter 2:22
processes 23:22	50:22 51:18,20,24	Ramsawack 29:18	31:6	repositories 28:23
procurement 48:9	52:1	29:19,20 30:25	regain 36:10 41:7	51:14
produce 30:14	publicly 29:17	31:14 37:23 38:7	regard 13:12	represent 10:2
produced 50:2	published 14:8,11	rated 25:14	regional 13:11,16	representing 12:13
producing 11:25	15:25		13:20 23:9,25	represents 12:15
production 9:14	Pugsley 40:1	ratings 25:16 reach 10:19	37:2,16	require 6:8 26:16
12:23 18:10 22:4	pull 9:15 29:6	reached 20:23	regulated 11:21	26:19,20
22:18 23:11,14,17	pulled 23:20	37:17	regulations 43:3	required 20:23
36:6	pulling 23:13 43:25		regulatory 20:21	21:15,24 43:20
program 9:10	pump 21:20 22:1	reaching 15:1 22:6	20:24 24:14,21	49:2,5
43:12	22:12,24 25:8	reaction 27:9 38:16	related 37:19	requirement 50:13
programs 36:22	26:22 27:20 32:1	reactor 27:8	Relations 3:3 5:15	requirements 18:18
project 29:24 40:17	32:9,10	reacts 15:15	relative 47:13	21:2 24:14 26:9
41:5,5,7 46:2,21	pumped 27:2 30:18	read 13:6 31:21	relevant 46:7	34:12
47:7,8,14,18,22	42:10	34:3 38:3,12	reliably 30:18	requires 46:9 47:12
47:24 48:3,7,13	pumping 22:12	reality 44:5	reliance 40:4 41:3	resident 52:21
51:12	27:3 35:22 46:19	really 5:25 7:17	relying 8:22	residential 18:4
projected 41:17	pumps 50:1,5	12:4 14:7 15:13	remaining 26:20	resource 50:19
projects 40:4 41:2	purchase 22:23	18:12,23 25:10,18	remedial 1:7 2:6	resources 9:19 21:1
		31:12,16 35:13		
	I	1	1	1

				ρ1
47.16.17.40.16	G1-20 10	00 4 17 05 5	20.21	S44 2 17 10 7
47:16,17 49:16	Sarah 29:18	seven 22:4,17 25:5	38:21	Street 2:17 10:7
50:10,21	Saticoy 37:25 38:4	36:3,4	sort 12:21 13:23	11:2 32:11
respond 13:14 28:1	satisfies 26:8	sewer 50:3	15:16 34:5 36:17	structure 40:12 structures 48:19
response 8:20	Savinar 31:17	shaded 12:22	sounds 21:23	
13:13 17:6 18:1,8	saw 28:8	shading 12:13	source 13:16,21,25	studied 36:1
33:14,20 34:23	saying 36:15	shallower 31:6	37:3,3,22 40:5	study 8:1 16:3 19:8
44:17	says 7:2 29:21	share 45:2	41:4 43:9	19:12,17,20 25:25
responses 51:1	scale 25:17	sharing 7:22	sources 11:21 23:17 26:23 27:21 41:18	36:2 41:16,22
responsible 16:24	scenario 27:3	She'll 5:18		46:18
33:21	schedule 53:17	sheet 5:23	42:23 43:7,14	submit 50:25
rest 30:19	schedules 7:18	short-term 24:16	south 17:10 31:4	subsequent 48:12
restore 20:9	schematic 32:4	Shorthand 2:22	40:23	substantial 17:2
restored 23:8	schematics 28:8	show 34:16,18	southern 9:4 22:21	summarized 20:5
restrictions 22:15	screened 21:14	showed 26:13	space 39:20	summary 9:10
result 18:10	screening 19:18	34:15	Spanish 6:2	17:20 43:12 45:24
resulted 46:18	season 50:13	showing 52:22	speak 6:20,21,23,25	summer 28:17
review 19:5 29:7	second 6:11 23:1,6	shown 12:20	6:25 17:14 29:17	Superfund 16:16
40:15 46:2,10	24:13,14 34:5	shows 12:8 14:25	31:19	16:17,17 17:6,7
47:1	35:10 36:21	49:7	Speakers 3:4 4:2	21:17 26:9
reviewed 48:5	section 6:23 10:16	side 11:6 52:23	speaking 7:8 31:18	supervisor 45:18
revisions 47:24	secured 22:20	sign-in 5:23	Specialist 3:3 5:15	supplies 22:14
RI 48:5	see 9:23,24,25 10:2	signed 5:24,25	species 50:15	supply 21:18 22:9
right 10:6,9 11:4,5	10:3,11 12:12	significance 49:13	specific 8:17 29:7	22:19,23 25:7
12:12 13:7,19	14:5,13 15:24,25	significant 46:11	sports 39:5	41:5
16:1,1 17:3,17	21:9 24:23 25:4	47:3,23 48:2	spread 10:5	support 16:5 40:4
18:12 30:24 31:2	29:3,11 32:17	49:15,20,24 50:5	staff 26:1	41:2 48:19
33:9 36:8,12,16	33:11 34:3,17	significantly 15:3	standards 18:22	sure 8:22 27:12,24
38:7 39:2,5,14	40:9,16 48:11	similar 9:23 22:15	26:24	32:6 34:12 35:16
42:21 44:1,6,18	49:1 53:9	simple 26:25	start 5:11 7:22 8:12	36:18 40:10 42:12
45:3 49:9 53:5,9	seeing 10:4 38:1	simplified 27:1	28:15 38:10	44:4
53:10,19	seek 43:6	site 8:2,3 9:11	started 19:2	surface 9:6 30:3,4
Rinaldi 40:22	seen 11:12 33:11	11:15 13:21 14:13	state 1:3 2:2,23	31:11
risk 16:14 17:21,24	44:25	15:25 16:1 17:22	11:19 20:25 24:1	surveying 50:15
17:25 18:13 19:3	select 28:15	17:23 19:9 20:17	24:18,20,20,21,22	surveys 50:12
19:11 44:19	selected 28:6,13	37:4,15,18,20	27:25 29:18 46:9	Susan 31:19
risks 16:10 18:11	33:4	48:15 49:2 51:24	46:10 52:20	sustainable 36:9,19
river 42:9	seller 22:22	sites 51:22	statement 30:11	42:7
road 33:1 39:4	Senior 3:3 5:14	sits 14:19	station 26:22 27:20	synthetic 14:4
rough 28:8	sense 10:4 19:22	situated 11:1	32:1,9,11	system 27:2 50:3
roughly 30:17	34:14	six 11:9,16 48:23	status 34:2	Т
routine 49:23	separate 15:6,13	size 38:18	statute 46:9	table 25:20
running 50:4	16:9 17:5 33:20	soccer 39:9,14	step 27:5	tackled 42:20
S	33:24,24 44:17	soil 31:1 43:1	steps 8:9 27:23 46:5	take 18:24 26:6,11
safe 18:25 52:15	49:9	soils 10:17	50:22	29:12 32:2,3,13
sake 6:14	separately 16:13	solution 27:6	stop 11:25	39:19 41:18 48:21
San 8:13,25,25 10:5	serve 10:11	solvent 14:5	store 9:13	taken 2:16 46:14
30:12,22 31:3	served 18:16,17,20	somebody 29:16	strategy 40:13,15	takes 19:12
33:10 40:2,18	serving 34:11	sooner 16:14	41:1	talk 7:25 8:8 12:7
41:13 42:12	set 19:6	sorry 18:7 32:6	straw 19:1	14:2 15:20 21:12
71.13 44.14				17.4 13.40 41.14

				02
45:25 46:4	three's 23:19	23:2,5,20 24:2	23:7,22 36:10	9:2,13,14,15 10:1
talked 5:13 14:24	threshold 24:9,12	25:13 26:7,16,19	41:7,25 43:21	10:11,18,19 11:20
46:16	25:10,19 26:4	26:20,21 27:2	44:20,22 46:20	11:21,25 13:11
talking 7:23 8:19	49:13	32:1,12,16,18,18	47:4 48:17	14:16,19,21 15:15
9:22 11:13 17:18	thresholds 50:2	33:3 34:6 43:24	uses 8:23 14:4	18:15,17,17,20,22
42:19 44:21	time 7:17 8:11	44:11,14,14 46:19	49:10	18:24 20:8,8,23
tally 30:21	11:17 15:17 28:19	47:7 49:4	utilizing 23:21	20:25,25 21:18
TCE 13:5 20:12	29:11 33:25 37:10	treaty 50:14	utilizing 23.21	22:2,9,11,14,19
technical 14:8	39:16 42:5,13	trees 50:17	V	22:21,22,23 23:9
technologies 19:22	45:15,16 50:6	tribal 50:20	validate 44:4	23:18,20 24:1,1
21:13	51:19	trips 49:2	valley 7:16 9:1 10:5	24:22 25:6 26:18
technology 15:10	timeline 29:7 35:23	truck 49:2	16:15 33:10,12	26:19,21,23,24
15:11 19:19	times 9:24 12:3	trucks 49.2	40:3	27:1,2,6,7,11,14
tell 8:9 17:20 21:20	22:13 49:5	try 14:11,22 15:13	valuable 9:19	
29:8 31:25 38:25	today 5:6 7:8,11,12	15:17	value 25:22	27:18,21 30:5,5
telling 37:12	8:16 10:22 18:16		Vanowen 2:17 10:7	30:15,22 31:7,13 32:15,16 34:10
tend 31:2	18:18 30:18 33:3	trying 8:4 44:18	10:10 11:2 32:11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
term 16:18	34:19 35:4,6	Tujunga 40:21	34:17 38:1,5	35:21 40:5,7 41:3
	,	turf 39:10	variety 27:21 33:16	41:5,15,16,17,19
terms 9:6,7 11:14	36:19 50:24 52:7	turn 29:14 45:11	various 14:4 30:25	41:25 42:6,9,10
20:16 21:5,10	told 31:1	two 6:9,15 13:25	49:5 51:13	42:11,17 43:25
27:23 30:19 41:19	Toluca 40:22	20:13 21:17 22:9	Vela 3:2 5:5,7,18	44:2 45:17 46:20
44:25	tonight 5:8,13,13	24:24 25:10,13	29:10 30:8 31:15	46:22 48:17 49:4
thank 5:5,18 7:12	5:22 6:3,4,10,14	29:20 32:17 50:9	35:13,17,24 38:8	50:2 51:3 52:2
7:14,14,18 16:21	6:20,21,25 8:15	type 5:21 6:7 8:17 14:11	38:19,22 39:25	water-bearing 9:16
29:10 30:10 31:14	9:24 11:14,23		40:10 42:15 43:16	way 6:23 13:5 14:7
31:15,18,23 35:12	13:6 14:3 17:19	types 6:15 10:17	45:6,21 51:16	15:25 25:15 31:7
35:13,25 38:8	18:24 20:19 29:16		52:9,12 53:1,21	36:8 43:21 44:20
39:25 40:8 45:6	29:25 35:6 45:24	typical 48:23	Veronica 43:17	45:5 49:11
45:21,23,23 51:16	53:22	U	versions 29:1	we'll 5:12 7:23,25
52:8,10,13,19	tonight's 5:20	ultimately 36:10	violet 23:23	8:8,11,19 9:11
53:20,22,23	20:14	ultra 23:23	volet 23.23 volatile 15:9,11	11:13 15:20 19:25
theory 36:24	top 6:22 12:5 14:19	ultra-violet 27:8	16:8 24:3 34:7	45:2 51:1
thing 15:4	topic 41:23	underlies 8:25	10.0 24.3 34.7	we're 8:4,14,16,22
things 5:20,21 12:2	tracking 17:16	underneath 9:2	W	9:7,21 10:4,8,19
12:17 13:2 14:6	trade-offs 26:5	understand 29:22	walk 24:5 26:25	10:21 11:4,23
16:15 24:15 34:8	transcriber 6:3,4,8	29:24 31:13 32:7	want 5:11,14 7:6	12:11 16:13 17:18
35:7 36:8	6:9 52:20	underway 13:14	8:12 9:9 10:22	17:22 21:12 28:8
think 11:7 30:11	TRANSCRIPT	Unfortunately	12:2,7 15:20	30:23 34:11,22
44:21	1:16 2:16	30:23	18:12,23 20:6,8	35:6 36:16 37:10
third 15:14	translation 6:1,2	unit 17:9,10,18	20:18 29:16 31:21	38:8 39:11 40:17
thoughts 36:23	travel 10:18 43:19	34:5,16,17,19	35:15 40:11 45:8	40:19 42:12,14,19
thousands 18:19,19	44:3	units 17:11 34:22	51:21 52:12,15	44:18 45:6
threat 35:21,23	treat 21:20 22:25	unmanned 49:22	wanted 6:9 7:21	we've 11:16
36:12	25:8 48:17	unnamed 49.22 upper 42:8	10:4 17:15 20:16	web 9:11 14:13
three 20:13 21:12	treated 24:4 34:11	urban 50:5	21:6 28:22 35:2	15:25 16:1 17:22
21:19 22:24 23:11	41:14 44:16	urgency 14:21	War 43:2	17:23 20:17 51:15
24:7 25:3,16	treating 17:13 34:7	urgent 16:11 44:18	wasn't 32:2	51:23
26:10 27:4 43:22	treatment 15:5	use 18:4 20:10	waste 50:2	website 29:2
43:24 44:8,10,12	18:2,7,14 22:3	21:20 22:15,25	water 1:2 2:1,20	Wednesday 1:18
		41.40 44.13,43	1.2 2.1,20	

			6.3
*			
2:19 5:1	Z	300 9:5 30:4	
weigh 24:6	zone 39:2	31 30:17	
weighs 19:4			
wells 9:14,20,21	0	4	
10:1,5,15,25 11:1		4 1:18 2:19 5:1	
11:7,9,13,14,15	1	4-dioxane 23:13	
11:25 12:10,18,19	1 13:8 21:14 23:13	42:20	
12:23,24 13:3	25:5 42:20	45 4:4	
14:24 15:1,18	1-DCE 13:8	5	
18:10 20:9 22:4	1,000 31:11		
22:17 23:11,14,17	1,4-Dioxane 11:22	5:00 45:13	
24:4 26:11,14,15	12:8,14,16,22	50 9:3 30:3 31:6	
26:19,20 27:5	13:3,13,15,16	52 30:12	
29:22,23 30:12,13	14:3,9,15,22 15:5	53 29:22	
30:17,21 31:2,9	18:9 20:7,12 21:7	6	
31:10 36:4,6	22:5,18 23:4,19	6:00 2:18 5:2	
43:25 44:9,10,12	23:25 25:13 27:10	60s 37:7	
53:6	27:12 32:12 33:8		
west 5:9 7:3,9,24	33:17 34:8 36:23	7	
10:9,22,23,25	43:19,23 44:14,17	7 4:3 38:11	
11:7 15:4 26:12	1.4-Dioxane 20:8	7:20 53:24	
29:5 40:17,20	100 9:2	70s 37:7	
47:7,10 52:23,24	11DC 20:12		
53:6	12 48:10		
Whitsett 11:10,11	12311 2:17		
12:11 26:13 28:16	13424DWP-COR		
32:23 38:1,5 39:4	1:25		
wholesale 22:22	14 10:25		
window 15:17	14150 1:23 2:21		
word 16:16,17	170 10:6 38:6 39:6		
words 18:2 32:1	52:24		
work 48:11 51:1	2		
World 43:2			
worth 28:18	2 25:6 43:2 20 48:22		
wouldn't 39:9	204 6.22 2012 11:24		
wrong 35:20	2012 11.24 2014 12:1		
X	2014 12.1 2015 12:1		
	2013 12.1 2017 1:18 2:19 5:1		
X 4:1	28:17 45:13		
<u> </u>	2019 28:17		
Yeah 34:14 35:1	23rd 24:25 25:1		
38:18 53:13	45:20,21 50:24		
year 18:19 28:14,18	24th 45:13		
48:21	2IR 34:5,5		
years 17:12 28:20			
37:6	3		
yellow 7:1,2 45:8	3 25:7,18 26:3,15		
45:12	26:18 27:4 44:11		
10.12	30 30:17		